arXiv:0805.0519v1 [gr-qc] 5 May 2008

Classical and Quantum Gravitational Collapse in d-dim AdS Spacetime II. Quantum States and Hawking Radiation

Cenalo Vaz[a1], Rakesh Tibrewala[b2] and T.P. Singh[b3]

[a] *RWC and Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati,*
Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0011, USA

[b] *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,*
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India

Abstract

In a previous paper we studied the collapse of a spherically symmetric dust distribution (marginally bound LTB) in d-dimensional AdS spacetime and obtained the condition for the formation of trapped surfaces. Here we extend the analysis by giving the canonical theory for the same and subsequently quantize the system by solving the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. We show that for the case of small dust perturbations around a black hole the wave functionals so obtained describe an AdS-Schwarzschild black hole in equilibrium with a thermal bath at Hawking temperature and show the non-trivial dependence of this temperature on the number of spacetime dimensions and the cosmological constant.

1 Introduction

One of the fundamental unresolved questions in physics is whether there exists a consistent formulation of quantum theory of gravity and, if it does, whether it cures the singularity problem that plagues the classical theory. Also it is hoped that such a theory, if it exists, will give fundamental insights into the nature of Hawking radiation [1] and the origin of black hole entropy as predicted by the use of semiclassical methods [2], [3], [4]. As long as a fully consistent theory of quantum gravity is not available it is worthwhile to study simplified models using different techniques. Among the most useful models are those with spherical symmetry (midisuperspace), since the assumption of spherical symmetry keeps the analysis at a technically simpler level and yet allows one to study various non-trivial issues that are expected to be important in quantum gravity.

The midisuperspace quantization program has been carried out in a series of papers for spherical dust collapse (LTB collapse) in 3+1 dimensions, both for the marginal [5], [6], [7], [8], [9] and the non-marginal case [10], [11], following the work of Kuchar for the Schwarzschild black hole [12]. There one could set up and solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation for these models and thus obtain exact quantum states, which in the near horizon approximation gave Hawking radiation. However, issues relating to singularity avoidance and to the exact quantum gravitational nature of Hawking radiation could not be addressed fully due to various technical difficulties. To overcome some of the technical difficulties that arise in 3+1 dimensions, the quantum gravitational dust collapse model has also been studied in 2+1 dimensions [13] where it turns out that a black hole solution (the BTZ black hole) is only possible in the presence of a negative cosmological constant. However, in this case the thermodynamics and the statistics of the quantized BTZ black hole are completely different from that of the 3+1 dimensional Schwarzschild black hole. Specifically, whereas the Schwarzschild black hole follows the Boltzmann statistics, the BTZ black hole follows Bose-Einstein statistics [14]. This is possibly related to the fact that the BTZ black hole has positive specific heat, whereas the specific heat is negative for the Schwarzschild black hole.

Motivated by this observation we were led to the question: what is the role of the cosmological constant and the number of spatial dimensions in determining the nature of thermodynamics and the statistics of the

---

[1] e-mail address: Cenalo.Vaz@UC.Edu
[2] e-mail address: rtibs@tifr.res.in
[3] e-mail address: tpsingh@tifr.res.in

quantized black hole? The present paper is the second in a series of three papers addressing this question. In the first paper [15] we solved the Einstein equations for a collapsing dust ball in an asymptotically AdS spacetime and examined the nature of the gravitational singularity. Here we look at the canonical formulation of the same which we then quantize obtaining the Wheeler-DeWitt equation, which is then solved on a lattice to obtain exact quantum states. These quantum states are shown to give rise to Hawking radiation in the limit of small dust perturbation around an AdS-Schwarzschild black hole. We also allude to the interplay between the number of spatial dimensions and the value of the cosmological constant and the black hole mass in determining the thermodynamical properties of the black hole. The statistical origin of this dependence based on the present quantum treatment will be taken up in a subsequent paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we recall the important results concerning the collapse of an inhomogeneous dust cloud under the assumption of spherical symmetry in $d = n + 2$ dimensions in the presence of a negative cosmological constant. The canonical formulation for this system is discussed in Sec. III where we also give the contribution(s) to the action coming from the boundary variations along with a discussion of the fall-off conditions. In Sec. IV we make a canonical transformation following Kuchar to obtain a much simpler expression for the Hamiltonian constraint, which is followed by a discussion of the dust action in Sec. V. In Sec. VI we present the quantization of this system which leads to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. This equation is then solved to obtain exact quantum states on a lattice following DeWitt's regularization scheme. Subsequently we obtain Hawking radiation from these states using a particular ansatz for the inner product. It is remarkable that the d-dimensional spherically symmetric system with a negative cosmological constant can be cast in the same canonical form as the 3+1 system without a cosmological constant.

2 The Classical Solution

The metric for a spherically symmetric inhomogeneous dust cloud, described by the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon(\tau, \rho) U_\mu U_\nu$, in d-dimensional spacetime ($d = n + 2$), in the presence of a negative cosmological constant $-\Lambda$ ($\Lambda > 0$), in co-moving coordinates is

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \frac{\widetilde{R}^2}{1+2E} d\rho^2 + R^2 d\Omega_n^2 \,. \tag{1}$$

Here Ω_n is the solid angle for the n-sphere, $R = R(\tau, \rho)$ is the radius of the n-sphere and E, the so-called energy function, is some function of the radial coordinate ρ and we use the notation $\widetilde{R} = \partial_\rho R$ and $R^* = \partial_\tau R$. For the marginally bound case, corresponding to $E = 0$, Einstein equations imply that the energy density ϵ of the dust cloud and the metric component R evolve as (see [15] for details of the classical solution)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\tau, \rho) &= \frac{(n-1)}{8\pi G} \frac{\widetilde{F}}{R^n \widetilde{R}} \,, && (2) \\ (R^*)^2 &= -\frac{2\Lambda}{n(n+1)} R^2 + \frac{F(r)}{R^{n-1}} . && (3) \end{aligned}$$

Here F, the mass function, is some function of ρ with the condition $F > 0$. We note that collapse is described by $R^* < 0$. Using the scaling freedom in the choice of the radial coordinate ρ to set $R = \rho$ at the initial time $\tau = 0$ we can write the solution of the last equation as

$$R(\tau, \rho) = \left(\frac{n(n+1)F}{2\Lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sin^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \left[\sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda \rho^{n+1}}{n(n+1)F}} - \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda(n+1)}{2n}} \tau \right] . \tag{4}$$

Equation (4) shows that for a shell labelled ρ the curvature radius R becomes zero at the time

$$\tau_0(\rho) = \frac{\sin^{-1}\sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda\rho^{n+1}}{n(n+1)F}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda(n+1)}{2n}}}. \tag{5}$$

This solution can be matched to an exterior Schwarzschild-AdS spacetime

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{F(\rho_b)}{x^{n-1}} + \frac{2\Lambda x^2}{n(n+1)}\right)dT^2 + \left(1 - \frac{F(\rho_b)}{x^{n-1}} + \frac{2\Lambda x^2}{n(n+1)}\right)^{-1}dx^2 + x^2 d\Omega^2 \tag{6}$$

where (T, x) are the coordinates in the exterior and ρ_b is the boundary of the dust cloud.

3 Hamiltonian Formalism

We now want to set up the canonical theory for the general $d = n+2$ dimensional, spherically symmetric collapse problem with and without the cosmological constant. For this we consider the ADM metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2dt^2 + L^2(dr + N^r dt)^2 + R^2 d\Omega_n^2 \tag{7}$$

where as before Ω_n is the solid angle

$$d\Omega_n^2 = d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\theta_1(d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2\theta_2(d\theta_3^2 \ldots \sin^2\theta_{n-1}d\theta_n^2)\ldots)). \tag{8}$$

The Einstein-Hilbert action in presence of a negative cosmological constant $-\Lambda$ $(\Lambda > 0)$, (ignoring the boundary terms), is

$$S_{\text{EH}} = \frac{n-1}{8n\pi G_d}\int d^dx\sqrt{-g}({}^d\mathcal{R} + 2\Lambda) \tag{9}$$

where ${}^d\mathcal{R}$ is the Ricci Scalar of the d-dimensional manifold and G_d is the gravitation constant in d-dimensions. The above equation after using (7) and integrating over the angular dimensions becomes

$$S_{\text{EH}} = \frac{(n-1)\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{4n\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})G_d}\int dt\int drNLR^n({}^{d-1}\mathcal{R} + K_{\mu\nu}K^{\mu\nu} - K^2 + 2\Lambda) = \int dt\int dr\ \mathcal{L} \tag{10}$$

where ${}^{d-1}\mathcal{R}$ is the Ricci Scalar of the spatial slice and the prefactor comes from integrating over the solid angle. $K_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature. If we define

$$\widetilde{G}_d^{-1} = \frac{(n-1)\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{4n\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})G_d}, \quad \Omega_n = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \tag{11}$$

then

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{G}_d}NLR^n({}^{d-1}\mathcal{R} + K_{\mu\nu}K^{\mu\nu} - K^2 + 2\Lambda) \tag{12}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \;=\; & -\frac{nR^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_dN}(N^rR' - \dot{R})[2(N^rLR)' + (n-3)N^rLR' - 2R\dot{L} - (n-1)L\dot{R}] \\ & -\frac{NR^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_dL^2}[-n(n-1)L^3 - 2nRL'R' + n(n-1)LR'^2 + 2nLRR'' - 2\Lambda R^2L^3]\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From here, we compute the momentum densities,

$$\begin{aligned} P_R &= \frac{2nR^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_d N}[(N^r LR)' + (n-2)N^r LR' - R\dot{L} - (n-1)L\dot{R}], \\ P_L &= \frac{2nR^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d N}(N^r R' - \dot{R}), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

which can be solved for the velocities,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R} &= -\frac{\widetilde{G}_d N P_L}{2nR^{n-1}} + N^r R' \ , \\ \dot{L} &= \widetilde{G}_d N \left[-\frac{P_R}{2nR^{n-1}} + (n-1)\frac{LP_L}{2nR^n} \right] + (N^r L)'. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

With these definitions the Lagrangian density can be expressed in terms of the canonical variables

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \widetilde{G}_d N \left[-\frac{P_L P_R}{2nR^{n-1}} + (n-1)\frac{LP_L^2}{4nR^n} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{NR^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2}[-n(n-1)L^3 - 2nRL'R' + n(n-1)LR'^2 + 2nLRR'' - 2\Lambda R^2 L^3] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and putting it all together, we compute the Hamiltonian

$$\mathbb{H} = \int dr[\dot{R}P_R + \dot{L}P_L - \mathcal{L}] = \int dr[N\mathcal{H} + N^r \mathcal{H}_r], \tag{17}$$

from which we can read off the Hamiltonain and the diffeomorphism constraints, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \widetilde{G}_d \left[-\frac{P_L P_R}{2nR^{n-1}} + (n-1)\frac{LP_L^2}{4nR^n} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{R^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2}[-n(n-1)L^3 - 2nRL'R' + n(n-1)LR'^2 + 2nLRR'' - 2\Lambda R^2 L^3], \\ \mathcal{H}_r &= R'P_R - LP_L' \ . \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

It can be shown that this gives the same results for the $n = 2$ case as we have derived in our earlier paper.

3.1 Boundary Variations

Our next task is to list all possible contributions to the boundary variations. From the diffeomorphism constraint we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_{\partial\Sigma} dt N^r P_R \delta R \\ &+\int_{\partial\Sigma} dt N^r L \delta P_L \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and, from the Hamiltonian constraint we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \ 2n\int_{\partial\Sigma} dt \frac{NR^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2} L'\delta R \\ &+ \ 2n\int_{\partial\Sigma} dt \frac{NR^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2} R'\delta L \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \quad 2n(n-1)\int_{\partial\Sigma} dt \frac{NR^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_d L} R'\delta R \\
&+ \quad 2n \int_{\partial\Sigma} dt \left(\frac{NR^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L}\right)' \delta R
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

The last boundary variation can be written as the sum of three parts:

$$
2n \int_{\partial\Sigma} dt \left[\frac{N'R^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L} + (n-1)\frac{NR^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_d L} R' - \frac{NR^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2} L'\right] \delta R \tag{21}
$$

The middle term cancels the third boundary variation of the second list and the last term cancels the first boundary variation (in the same list). We are then left with just four potential contributions from the boundary, *viz.*

$$
+ \quad \int_{\partial\Sigma} dt \left[\frac{2nNR^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2} R'\delta L + N^r L \delta P_L + \left(-N^r P_R + \frac{2nN'R^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L}\right)\delta R\right] \tag{22}
$$

Whether or not any term contributes depends on the asymptotic conditions we will shortly impose.

3.2 Equations of Motion

We now use the equations of motion $\dot{q} = \{q, \mathbb{H}\}$, where $q \equiv (R, L, P_R, P_L)$, to obtain the evolution equations. Making the choice $N = 1$ and $N^r = 0$ we obtain

$$
\dot{R} = \{R, \mathbb{H}\} = \frac{\delta \mathbb{H}}{\delta P_R} = -\frac{\widetilde{G}_d P_L}{2nR^{n-1}}, \tag{23}
$$

$$
\dot{L} = \{L, \mathbb{H}\} = \frac{\delta \mathbb{H}}{\delta P_L} = \widetilde{G}_d \left[-\frac{P_R}{2nR^{n-1}} + (n-1)\frac{LP_L}{2nR^n}\right], \tag{24}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{P}_R = \{P_R, \mathbb{H}\} &= -(n-1)\widetilde{G}_d \left[\frac{P_L P_R}{2nR^n} - \frac{LP_L^2}{4R^{n+1}}\right] \\
&- \frac{R^{n-3}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2}\left[-n(n-1)(n-2)L^3 - 2n(n-1)RL'R'\right. \\
&\quad \left. + n(n-1)(n-2)LR'^2 + 2n(n-1)LRR'' + 2n\Lambda R^2 L^3\right] \\
&- \frac{2n}{\widetilde{G}_d}\left(\frac{R^{n-1}L'}{L^2}\right)' + 2n(n-1)\left(\frac{R^{n-2}R'}{\widetilde{G}_d L}\right)' - 2n\left(\frac{R^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d L}\right)'',
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{P}_L = \{P_L, \mathbb{H}\} &= -\frac{\delta \mathbb{H}}{\delta L} = -(n-1)\widetilde{G}_d \frac{P_L^2}{4nR^n} \\
&- \frac{R^{n-2}}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2}\left[-n(n-1)L^2 - n(n-1)R'^2 - 2nRR''\right. \\
&\qquad \left. - 2\Lambda R^2 L^2 + \frac{4nRL'R'}{L}\right] - 2n\left(\frac{R^{n-2}RR'}{\widetilde{G}_d L^2}\right)'.
\end{aligned}
\tag{26}
$$

Taking the time derivative of (23) and substituting for $\dot{P}_L$ we find

$$
\ddot{R} = -(n-1)\frac{\dot{R}^2}{2R} - \frac{n-1}{2R} + \frac{(n-1)R'^2}{2RL^2} - \frac{\Lambda R}{n}. \tag{27}
$$

We need to do a similar thing for L and so we consider

$$\frac{\dot{R}'}{R'} = -\frac{\widetilde{G}_d P_L'}{2nR^{n-1}R'} + (n-1)\frac{\widetilde{G}_d P_L}{2nR^n}. \tag{28}$$

Using the momentum constraint $\mathcal{H}_r \approx 0$ to eliminate P_L' we obtain

$$\frac{\dot{R}'}{R'} = -\frac{\widetilde{G}_d P_R}{2nR^{n-1}L} + (n-1)\frac{\widetilde{G}_d P_L}{2nR^n} = \frac{\dot{L}}{L}. \tag{29}$$

This implies $L = \frac{R'}{f}$ where f is a function only of r. If we take $f(r) = \sqrt{1+2E(r)}$ and substitute the expression for L in (27) we find

$$\ddot{R} = -(n-1)\frac{\dot{R}^2}{2R} + (n-1)\frac{E}{R} - \frac{\Lambda R}{n}. \tag{30}$$

This equation can be integrated with respect to time

$$\dot{R}^2 = \frac{F(r)}{R^{n-1}} - \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)} + 2E(r). \tag{31}$$

This is seen to be the correct equation for the evolution of R in the non-marginal case. $F(r)$ is of course the mass function and $E(r)$ is the energy function (which is zero for the marginal case).

3.3 Fall-off conditions

To determine the fall-off conditions at infinity, we imagine that the metric is smoothly matched to the Schwarzschild-AdS metric at some boundary r_b given by

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_n^2 \tag{32}$$

where

$$f(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2\widetilde{M}}{r^{n-1}} + \frac{2\Lambda r^2}{n(n+1)}\right) \tag{33}$$

where we have set $F(r_b) = 2\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{G}_d M/n$ and where M is the ADM mass. The fall-off conditions at infinity are given by $(n > 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(t,r) \rightarrow r + \mathcal{O}^\infty(r^{-n}) \\
&L(t,r) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)}{2\Lambda}}\, r^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2\Lambda}\right]^{3/2} r^{-3} + \mathcal{O}^\infty(r^{-5})\ldots \\
&\qquad \ldots + \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2\Lambda}\right]^{3/2} \widetilde{M}(t)\; r^{-n-2} + \ldots \\
&N(t,r) \rightarrow N_+(t)\left[\sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda}{n(n+1)}}\; r + \mathcal{O}^\infty(r^{-1})\right] + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}) \\
&N^r(t,r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\infty(r^{-n}) \\
&P_R(t,r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\infty(r^{-4}) \\
&P_L(t,r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\infty(r^{-2})
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Let's now look at the boundary terms in (22). Inserting the dependences, we see that the contributions amount to

$$\frac{2n}{\widetilde{G}_d}\int_{\partial\Sigma} dtN_+\left(\delta\widetilde{M}+\frac{2\Lambda}{n(n+1)}\delta R_1\right). \tag{35}$$

We will call

$$M_+=\frac{2n}{\widetilde{G}_d}\left[\widetilde{M}+\frac{2\Lambda R_1}{n(n+1)}\right] \tag{36}$$

so that the contribution at infinity is

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma} dtN_+\delta M_+ \tag{37}$$

and must be *subtracted* from the hypersurface action.

The fall off conditions at $r=0$ depend sensitively on the choices we make for the arbitrary functions $E(r)$ and $F(r)$. Near $r=0$, take $E(r)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}E_n r^n$ and $F(r)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}F_n r^n$, requiring $F_0\geq 0$ (avoiding shell-crossing singularities etc. will involve appropriate conditions on the coefficients). In this way we determine the following fall-off conditions to be met:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(t,r)\to a(t)+b(t)r+\mathcal{O}^0(r^2)\\
&L(t,r)\to \gamma b(t)+\mathcal{O}^0(r)\\
&P_R(t,r)\to P_{R0}(t)+\mathcal{O}^0(r)\\
&P_L(t,r)\to P_{L0}(t)+\mathcal{O}^0(r)\\
&N(t,r)\to \frac{\gamma N_0(t)}{a^{n-1}}+\mathcal{O}^0(r^2)\\
&N^r(t,r)\to \mathcal{O}^0(r)
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $\gamma=1/\sqrt{1-2E_0}$ and is thus equal to one for the marginally bound case we are considering. They guarantee that the hypersurface action is well behaved at $r=0$. Inserting these into (22) gives

$$\frac{2n}{\widetilde{G}_d}\int_{\partial\Sigma} dtN_0\delta\ln b. \tag{39}$$

If we call $\delta\ln b=-\widetilde{G}_d\delta M_0/2n$ then we must *add* the term

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma} dtN_0\delta M_0 \tag{40}$$

to the hypersurface action to cancel the contribution from the origin. Combining the two, we must add the term

$$S_{\partial\Sigma}=-\int_{\partial\Sigma} dtN_+M_+ +\int_{\partial\Sigma} dtN_0M_0 \tag{41}$$

to the hypersurface action to cancel unwanted boundary variations.

4 Canonical Transformations

Our aim now is to write the mass function F in terms of the canonical variables. For this we start by embedding the ADM metric (7) in the spacetime described by the LTB metric (1). In what follows we will use the prime and an over-dot to refer to derivatives with respect to the ADM labels r and t respectively and we define $\bar{R} = \widetilde{R}/\sqrt{1+2E}$. With this a foliation described by $\tau(t,r)$ and $\rho(t,r)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} L^2 &= \bar{R}^2\rho'^2 - \tau'^2, && (42)\\ N^r &= \frac{\bar{R}^2\dot{\rho}\rho' - \dot{\tau}\tau'}{L^2}, && (43)\\ N &= \frac{\bar{R}}{L}(\dot{\tau}\rho' - \dot{\rho}\tau'). && (44) \end{aligned}$$

Using these expressions in the momentum densities in (14) we find

$$LP_L = \frac{2n}{\widetilde{G}_d}\frac{R^{n-1}}{\bar{R}(\dot{\tau}\rho' - \dot{\rho}\tau')}\left[R'(\bar{R}^2\dot{\rho}\rho' - \dot{\tau}\tau') - \dot{R}(\bar{R}^2\rho'^2 - \tau'^2)\right]. \tag{45}$$

Now we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R} &= R^*\dot{\tau} + \widetilde{R}\dot{\rho} = R^*\dot{\tau} + \bar{R}\sqrt{1+2E}\ \dot{\rho},\\ R' &= R^*\tau' + \widetilde{R}\rho' = R^*\tau' + \bar{R}\sqrt{1+2E}\ \rho'. && (46) \end{aligned}$$

Using this along with (31) in (45) we find that

$$LP_L = \frac{2nR^{n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\sqrt{1+2E}}\left[\mp R'\sqrt{2E + \frac{F}{R^{n-1}} - \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)}} - \left(1 - \frac{F}{R^{n-1}} + \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)}\right)\tau'\right] \tag{47}$$

where the upper sign is appropriate for expansion and the lower for collapse. Solving for τ' and calling

$$\mathcal{F} = 1 - \frac{F}{R^{n-1}} + \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)} \tag{48}$$

we have

$$\tau' = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\left[\mp R'\sqrt{1+2E-\mathcal{F}} - \frac{\widetilde{G}_d\sqrt{1+2E}\ LP_L}{2nR^{n-1}}\right]. \tag{49}$$

This is a generalization of the result for $n = 2$ in [10] to arbitrary n.

If we now substitute this in (42), taking care to maintain the appropriate signs for expansion and collapse, we find for either case

$$L^2 = \frac{R'^2}{\mathcal{F}} - \frac{\widetilde{G}_d^2 L^2 P_L^2}{4n^2R^{2(n-1)}\mathcal{F}}. \tag{50}$$

Solving for $\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{R'^2}{L^2} - \frac{\widetilde{G}_d^2 P_L^2}{4n^2R^{2(n-1)}} \tag{51}$$

and therefore the mass function is obtained in terms of the canonical variables as

$$F = R^{n-1}\left[1 - \frac{R'^2}{L^2} + \frac{\widetilde{G}_d^2 P_L^2}{4n^2R^{2(n-1)}} + \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)}\right]. \tag{52}$$

We would now like to turn the mass function into a canonical variable. Taking the appropriate Poisson brackets shows that

$$P_F = \frac{LP_L}{2R^{n-1}\mathcal{F}} \tag{53}$$

is canonically conjugate to F (*i.e.* $\{F, P_F\}_{\mathrm{PB}} = 1$), which can be seen to be a generalization of the result in earlier work.

We are looking for a coordinate transformation that would take our diffeomorphism constraint to

$$\mathcal{H}_r = R' P_R - L P_L' \to R' \overline{P}_R + F' P_F \tag{54}$$

i.e., we require a new momentum $\overline{P}_R$ such that

$$\overline{P}_R = P_R - \frac{L P_L'}{R'} - \frac{F' P_F}{R'} \tag{55}$$

and the set $\{R, \overline{P}_R, F, P_F\}$ forms a canonical chart on the phase space. We can simplify the expression above to get

$$\overline{P}_R = P_R - \frac{n-1}{2}\left[\frac{L P_L}{R} + \frac{L P_L}{R\mathcal{F}}\right] - \frac{\Lambda L R P_L}{n\mathcal{F}} - \frac{\Delta}{L^2 R^{n-1}\mathcal{F}} \tag{56}$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{n}\left[(R^n)'(L P_L)' - (R^{n'})'(L P_L)\right]. \tag{57}$$

We may check this expression with the expressions we had in 2+1 and 3+1 dimensions.

- For $n = 1$ we find

$$\overline{P}_R = P_R - \frac{\Lambda L R P_L}{\mathcal{F}} - \frac{\Delta}{L^2 \mathcal{F}} \tag{58}$$

  where

$$\Delta = R'(L P_L)' - R''(L P_L). \tag{59}$$

- For $n = 2$ we have

$$\overline{P}_R = P_R - \frac{L P_L}{2R} - \frac{L P_L}{2R\mathcal{F}} - \frac{\Lambda L R P_L}{2\mathcal{F}} - \frac{\Delta}{L^2 \mathcal{F}} \tag{60}$$

  where

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{R}\left[(R R')(L P_L)' - (R R')'(L P_L)\right]. \tag{61}$$

Both these results were obtained earlier [13], [10].

We now set about showing that the transformation is canonical. Since we already know two coordinates and one conjugate momentum, we simply use the analogues of the standard equations:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= \sum_j P_j \frac{\partial Q_j}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial \mathbb{F}}{\partial q_i} \\ 0 &= \sum_j P_j \frac{\partial Q_j}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial \mathbb{F}}{\partial p_i} \\ \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{K} - \frac{\partial \mathbb{F}}{\partial t} \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

(where the left hand side are the old phase space variables) *i.e.,* the equations

$$\begin{aligned} P_L(r) &= \int dr' P_F(r') \frac{\partial F(r')}{\partial L(r)} + \frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta L(r)} \\ P_R(r) &= \overline{P}_R(r) + \int dr' P_F(r') \frac{\partial F(r')}{\partial R(r)} + \frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta R(r)} \\ 0 &= \int dr' P_F(r') \frac{\partial F(r')}{\partial P_L(r)} + \frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta P_L(r)} \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \int dr' P_F(r') \frac{\partial F(r')}{\partial P_R(r)} + \frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta P_R(r)} \tag{63}$$

and ask for a generating function $\mathbb{F}[L, R, P_L, P_R]$. The last equation in (63) tells us that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}[R, L, P_L]$. The third equation gives

$$\frac{\widetilde{G}_d^2 P_F P_L}{2n^2 R^{n-1}} + \frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta P_L} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta P_L} = -\frac{\widetilde{G}_d^2 L P_L^2}{4n^2 R^{2(n-1)} \left(\frac{R'^2}{L^2} - \frac{\widetilde{G}_d^2 P_L^2}{4n^2 R^{2(n-1)}} \right)}. \tag{64}$$

This gives

$$\mathbb{F} = \int dr \left[L P_L - \frac{2nR^{n-1} R'}{\widetilde{G}_d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\widetilde{G}_d L P_L}{2nR^{n-1} R'} \right] + \mathbb{F}_1[L, R]. \tag{65}$$

Using this in the first equation (63) then implies

$$\frac{\delta \mathbb{F}_1}{\delta L} = 0 \tag{66}$$

showing that $\mathbb{F}_1 = \mathbb{F}_1[R]$. This is a trivial dependence of $\mathbb{F}_1$, since we are interested in computing $\overline{P}_R$. We thus take $\mathbb{F}_1$ to be independent of R, a constant. Calculating $\overline{P}_R$ in (63) using the form for $\mathbb{F}$ in (65) we find that we get the same result as we had before in (60). Thus we have established that $\{R, F, \overline{P}_R, P_F\}$ form a canonical chart.

Finally we want to rewrite the Hamiltonian constraint in (18) in terms of the new variables. Eliminating L and P_L in favour of F and P_F we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= -\frac{1}{nL} \left[\widetilde{G}_d \mathcal{F} P_F \overline{P}_R + \frac{n^2}{\widetilde{G}_d} \mathcal{F}^{-1} R' F' \right] \\ \mathcal{H}_r &= R' \overline{P}_R + F' \overline{P}_F \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

where it is understood that L is expressed in terms of the new canonical variables. We note that it is remarkable that the constraints have the same form as the constraints in 2+1 dimensions and in 3+1 dimensions.

4.1 Boundary Action

Because varying N_+ and N_0 in (41) would lead to zero ADM mass and restrict F_0 to zero, respectively, both N_+ and N_0 should be considered as prescribed functions. By the fall-off conditions, the lapse function, N^r, is required to vanish both at the center as well as at infinity. This implies that the time evolution is generated along the world lines of observers with r = constant. If we introduce the proper time of these observers as a new variable, we can express the lapse function in the form $N_+(t) = \dot{\tau}_+$ and $N_0(t) = \dot{\tau}_0$. This leads to

$$S_{\partial\Sigma} = -\int dt M_+(t) \dot{\tau}_+ + \int dt M_0(t) \dot{\tau}_0. \tag{68}$$

Thus we remove the need to fix the lapse function at the boundaries. Extending the treatment in [12], the aim is to cast the homogeneous part of the action into Liouville form, finding a transformation to new variables that absorb the boundary terms. This can be done by introducing the mass density $\Gamma = nF'/\widetilde{G}_d$ as a new canonical variable. Define

$$F(r) = \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n} M_0 + \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n} \int_0^r dr' \Gamma(r), \tag{69}$$

and reconsider the Liouville form

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &:= -M_+\delta\overline{\tau}_+ + M_0\delta\overline{\tau}_0 + \int_0^\infty dr P_F \delta F \\ &= \overline{\tau}_+\delta M_+ - \overline{\tau}_0\delta M_0 + \int_0^\infty dr P_F \delta F, \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

where we have dropped an exact form. But

$$\delta F = \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\left[\delta M_0 + \int_0^r dr'\delta\Gamma(r')\right] \tag{71}$$

gives

$$\Theta = \left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^\infty dr' P_F(r') - \overline{\tau}_0\right)\delta M_0 + \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^\infty dr P_F(r)\int_0^r dr'\delta\Gamma(r') + \overline{\tau}_+\delta M_+. \tag{72}$$

Noting further that[4]

$$\int_0^\infty dr P_F(r)\int_0^r dr'\delta\Gamma(r') = \int_0^\infty dr\ \delta\Gamma(r)\int_r^\infty dr' P_F(r'), \tag{73}$$

we can write the Liouville form as

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^\infty dr' P_F(r') - \overline{\tau}_0\right)\delta M_0 \\ &\qquad + \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^\infty dr\delta\Gamma(r)\left(\int_0^\infty dr P_F(r) - \int_0^r dr' P_F(r')\right) + \overline{\tau}_+\delta M_+ \\ &= \left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^\infty dr' P_F(r') - \overline{\tau}_0\right)\delta M_0 \\ &\qquad + \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}(\delta M_+ - \delta M_0)\int_0^\infty dr P_F(r) - \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^\infty dr\delta\Gamma(r)\int_0^r dr' P_F(r') + \overline{\tau}_+\delta M_+ \\ &= p_0\delta M_0 + p_+\delta M_+ + \int_0^\infty dr P_\Gamma(r)\delta\Gamma(r), \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where

$$p_0 = -\overline{\tau}_0,$$

$$p_+ = \overline{\tau}_+ + \frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^\infty dr P_F(r),$$

---

[4] See Kuchař [12]. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^r dr'\delta\Gamma(r')\times\int_r^\infty dr' P_F(r')\right)' \\ = \quad &\delta\Gamma(r)\times\int_r^\infty dr' P_F(r') - P_F(r)\times\int_0^r dr'\delta\Gamma(r') \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the left hand side from 0 to ∞ gives zero, therefore

$$\int_0^\infty dr P_F(r)\int_0^r dr'\delta\Gamma(r') = \int_0^\infty dr\ \delta\Gamma(r)\int_r^\infty dr' P_F(r')$$

$$P_\Gamma(r) = -\frac{\widetilde{G}_d}{n}\int_0^r dr' P_F(r'). \tag{75}$$

The new form of the action is then

$$S_{\rm EH} = \int dt \left(p_0 \dot{M}_0 + p_+ \dot{M}_+ + \int dr \, [\overline{P}_R \dot{R} + P_\Gamma \dot{\Gamma} - N\mathcal{H}^g - N^r \mathcal{H}_r] \right), \tag{76}$$

where the new constraints read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^g &= -\frac{1}{L}\left[-\mathcal{F}\overline{P}_R P'_\Gamma + \mathcal{F}^{-1} R'\Gamma\right] \approx 0, \\ \mathcal{H}_r &= R'\overline{P}_R - \Gamma P'_\Gamma \approx 0. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Notice that $\widetilde{G}_d$ (and n) dependence has been absorbed in the definitions of Γ and P_Γ. In this way we arrive at the remarkable result that the constraints have the same form in any dimension. So far we have not included the dust action. We do this below.

5 Dust Action

In this section, we rewrite the Hamiltonian constraint in quadratic form including the dust action. For this we begin with the dust action (see [16], [17] for details on the dust action)

$$S^d = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^dx \sqrt{-g}\; \varepsilon(x)[g_{\alpha\beta}U^\alpha U^\beta + 1] = -\frac{\Omega_n}{2}\int dt \int dr N L R^n \varepsilon(x)[g^{\alpha\beta}U_\alpha U_\beta + 1]. \tag{78}$$

We only consider non-rotating dust and use

$$U_\mu = -\tau_{,\mu} \tag{79}$$

where τ is the dust proper time. Using the ADM metric for spherical symmetry we find

$$S^d = -\frac{\Omega_n}{2}\int dt \int dr N L R^n \varepsilon(t,r)\left[-\frac{\dot{\tau}^2}{N^2} + \frac{2N^r}{N^2}\dot{\tau}\tau' + \left(\frac{1}{L^2} - \frac{N^{r2}}{N^2}\right)\tau'^2 + 1\right] \tag{80}$$

and it follows that

$$P_\tau = \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\dot{\tau}} = \frac{\Omega_n N L R^n \varepsilon}{N^2}[\dot{\tau} - N^r \tau']. \tag{81}$$

Thus

$$\dot{\tau} = \frac{N P_\tau}{\Omega_n L R^n \varepsilon} + N^r \tau' \tag{82}$$

and the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\mathcal{H} = N\mathcal{H}^d + N^r \mathcal{H}^d_r \tag{83}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^d &= \frac{P_\tau}{L}\sqrt{\tau'^2 + L^2}, \\ \mathcal{H}^d_r &= \tau' P_\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Thus we have the full gravitation+dust constraints

$$\mathcal{H}^g = -\frac{1}{L}\left[-\mathcal{F}\overline{P}_R P'_\Gamma + \mathcal{F}^{-1}R'\Gamma - P_\tau\sqrt{\tau'^2 + L^2}\right] \approx 0, \tag{85}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \tau' P_\tau + R'\overline{P}_R - \Gamma P'_\Gamma \approx 0. \tag{86}$$

We can use the diffeomorphism constraint to simplify the Hamiltonian constraint. Doing so we finally obtain the following expression for the Hamiltonian constraint which, because of the absence of any derivative terms, is much easier to use for quantization

$$\mathcal{H}^g = P_\tau^2 + \mathcal{F}\overline{P}_R^2 - \frac{\Gamma^2}{\mathcal{F}} \approx 0. \tag{87}$$

This is precisely the same form that we had in the 3+1 case; thus quantization can proceed as before.

6 Quantum States and Hawking Radiation

We now quantize the diffeomorphism constraint (86) and the Hamiltonian constraint (87). For this we make the substitutions $P_\tau \to -i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta\tau(r)}$, $\bar{P}_R \to -i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta R(r)}$, $P_\Gamma \to -i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta\Gamma(r)}$. With this the quantized form of the diffeomorphism constraint is

$$-i\hbar\left[R'\frac{\delta}{\delta R(r)} + \tau'\frac{\delta}{\delta\tau(r)} - \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\Gamma(r)}\right)'\right]\Psi[\tau(r'), R(r'), \Gamma(r')] = 0. \tag{88}$$

The quantized version of the Hamiltonian constraint, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation for the system, is

$$\left[\left(-i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta\tau(r)}\right)^2 + \mathcal{F}\left(-i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta R(r)}\right)^2 - \hbar^2 A(R,F)\delta(0)\frac{\delta}{\delta R(r)} - \hbar^2 B(R,F)\delta(0)^2 - \frac{\Gamma^2}{\mathcal{F}}\right]\Psi[\tau(r'), R(r'), \Gamma(r')] = 0 \tag{89}$$

where the second and the third terms on the left take into account the factor ordering ambiguities in (87). We assume that the wave functional is of the form

$$\Psi[\tau(r), R(r), \Gamma(r)] = U\left(\int dr\Gamma(r)\frac{iW(\tau(r), R(r), \Gamma(r))}{2}\right). \tag{90}$$

Since we would want to put the system on a lattice such that the wave functional can be written as the product of wave functions for each lattice point, that is $\Psi = \prod_i \psi_i$ where i designates the lattice points, we take U to be the exponential function. With this choice, and denoting the lattice spacing as σ, the assumed form of the wave functional becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi[\tau(r), R(r), \Gamma(r)] &= e^{\int dr\Gamma(r)\frac{iW(\tau(r),R(r),\Gamma(r))}{2}} \\
&= e^{\lim_{\sigma\to0}\sum_j \sigma\Gamma_j\frac{iW_j}{2}(\tau(r_j),R(r_j),\Gamma(r_j))} \\
&= \lim_{\sigma\to0}\prod_j e^{\sigma\Gamma_j\frac{iW_j}{2}(\tau(r_j),R(r_j),\Gamma(r_j))} \\
&= \lim_{\sigma\to0}\prod_j \psi_j(\tau(r_j), R(r_j), \Gamma(r_j)).
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

Here we recall that $\Gamma = nF'/\tilde{G}$ which implies $F(r)$ is given by (69) and therefore on the lattice we have $F(r_i) = \lim_{\sigma\to0}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\tilde{G}\sigma\Gamma_j/n$. We also have $\delta(0) \to \lim_{\sigma\to0} 1/\sigma$. Now the lattice version of the WDW equation is [5]

$$\left[\hbar^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\tau_j^2} + \mathcal{F}_j\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_j^2} + A(R_j, F_j)\frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} + B(R_j, F_j)\right) + \frac{\sigma^2\Gamma_j^2}{\mathcal{F}_j}\right]\psi_j = 0. \tag{92}$$

After substituting for ψ_j from (91) the above equation gives

$$-\frac{\sigma^2\Gamma_j^2}{4}\left[\hbar^2\left(\frac{\partial W_j}{\partial\tau_j}\right)^2 + \hbar^2\mathcal{F}_j\left(\frac{\partial W_j}{\partial\ R_j}\right)^2 - \frac{4}{\mathcal{F}_j}\right] + \frac{i\sigma\Gamma_j}{2}\left[\hbar^2\frac{\partial^2 W_j}{\partial\tau_j^2} + \hbar^2\mathcal{F}_j\frac{\partial^2 W_j}{\partial R_j^2} + \hbar^2 A\frac{\partial W_j}{\partial R_j}\right] + \hbar^2 B(R_j, F_j) = 0. \tag{93}$$

For the above equation to be satisfied independent of the choice of σ the following three equations must be satisfied [10]

$$\left(\hbar\frac{\partial W}{\partial \tau}\right)^2 + \mathcal{F}\left(\hbar\frac{\partial W}{\partial\, R}\right)^2 - \frac{4}{\mathcal{F}} = 0, \tag{94}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\tau^2} + \mathcal{F}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} + A\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\right) W = 0, \tag{95}$$

$$B = 0, \tag{96}$$

where the label j has been ignored in writing the above equations, it being understood that these equations are to be satisfied at each lattice point. To solve the above equations we look for solutions of the form $W(\tau, R) = \alpha(\tau) + \beta(R)$. With this (94) and (95) respectively become

$$\hbar^2\left(\frac{d\alpha}{d\tau}\right)^2 + \hbar^2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d\beta}{dR}\right)^2 - \frac{4}{\mathcal{F}} = 0, \tag{97}$$

$$\frac{d^2\alpha}{d\tau^2} + \mathcal{F}\frac{d^2\beta}{dR^2} + A\frac{d\beta}{dR} = 0. \tag{98}$$

In both the above equations the first term is dependent only on τ while the rest of the terms are independent of it (depending only on Γ and R), which therefore implies that these must be constant. With this (97) implies $\hbar^2\left(\frac{d\alpha}{d\tau}\right)^2 = c_1^2$ where c_1 is a constant. The solution of this is $\alpha = \pm(c_1\tau + c_0)/\hbar$. Using this solution for α, (97) becomes

$$c_1^2 + \hbar^2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d\beta}{dR}\right)^2 - \frac{4}{\mathcal{F}} = 0. \tag{99}$$

From this we get

$$\frac{d\beta}{dR} = \pm\frac{\sqrt{4 - c_1^2\mathcal{F}}}{\hbar\mathcal{F}}. \tag{100}$$

This can be differentiated with respect to R to obtain

$$\frac{d^2\beta}{dR^2} = \pm\frac{1}{\hbar\mathcal{F}^2}\left[-\frac{c_1^2\mathcal{F}}{2\sqrt{4 - c_1^2\mathcal{F}}} - \sqrt{4 - c_1^2\mathcal{F}}\right]\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dR}. \tag{101}$$

Also from the solution for α we see that the first term of (98) is zero which therefore implies

$$\mathcal{F}\frac{d^2\beta}{dR^2} + A\frac{d\beta}{dR} = 0 \tag{102}$$

Substituting for $d\beta/dR$ and $d^2\beta/dR^2$ in the above equation we get

$$2A(4 - c_1^2\mathcal{F}) = [c_1^2\mathcal{F} + 2(4 - c_1^2\mathcal{F})]\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dR}. \tag{103}$$

This equation when solved for A gives

$$A = \frac{\left(\frac{(n-1)F}{R^n} + \frac{4\Lambda R}{n(n+1)}\right)\left(8 - c_1^2 + \frac{c_1^2 F}{R^{n-1}} - \frac{2c_1^2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)}\right)}{\left(8 - 2c_1^2 + \frac{2c_1^2 F}{R^{n-1}} - \frac{4c_1^2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)}\right)}. \tag{104}$$

Thus for this A we get a separable solution for W

$$W = \frac{1}{\hbar}\left(c_0 \pm c_1\tau \pm \int dR\frac{\sqrt{4 - c_1^2\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}}\right). \tag{105}$$

With this form for W the wave function becomes

$$\Psi[\tau(r), R(r), \Gamma(r)] = e^{\int dr \frac{i}{2\hbar}\Gamma(r)\left(c_0 \pm c_1\tau \pm \int dR \frac{\sqrt{4-c_1^2\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}}\right)}. \tag{106}$$

Before proceeding further we would like to express the dust proper time τ in terms of the Killing time T. From (49) we recall that (putting $E = 0$, as is the case for marginally bound model)

$$\tau' = \frac{R'}{\mathcal{F}}\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}} - \frac{\tilde{G}_d L P_L}{2n\mathcal{F}R^{n-1}}. \tag{107}$$

However, we also have $P_F = LP_L/2R^{n-1}\mathcal{F} = -nP_\Gamma'/\tilde{G}_d$, which implies that τ can be written as

$$\tau = P_\Gamma \mp \int dR \frac{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}}. \tag{108}$$

Now in [12] it was shown that for the Schwarzschild geometry P_Γ equals the Killing time T (there it is $2P_\Gamma = T$; however, recall that we have absorbed n in the definition of P_Γ). Therefore if we have small dust perturbations not affecting the spacetime geometry, this relation may still be used and we get

$$\tau = T \mp \int dR \frac{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}}. \tag{109}$$

We note that here the minus sign is for expanding dust cloud and the plus sign is for the collapsing dust cloud. Now we can also fix the value of the constant c_1 appearing in the expression for the wave function. For this we note that the Hamiltonian constraint (87) can be solved for $\bar{P}_R$ giving

$$\bar{P}_R = \pm\frac{P_\tau}{\mathcal{F}}\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma^2}{P_\tau^2} - \mathcal{F}}. \tag{110}$$

This when substitued in the diffeomorphism constraint (86) gives

$$\tau' \pm \frac{R'}{\mathcal{F}}\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma^2}{P_\tau^2} - \mathcal{F}} - \frac{\Gamma P_\Gamma'}{P_\tau} \approx 0. \tag{111}$$

Comparing this with (107) after expressing it in terms of P_F we have that $P_\tau = \Gamma$. This implies that $\hat{P}_\tau\Psi = \hat{\Gamma}\Psi$. Evaluating the two sides we get $c_1 = 2$.

Using this in (106) the wave function becomes

$$\Psi[\tau(r), R(r), \Gamma(r)] = e^{\int dr \frac{i}{\hbar}\Gamma(r)\left[\frac{c_0}{2} \pm \left(T \mp \int dR \frac{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}}\right) \pm \int dR \frac{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}}\right]}. \tag{112}$$

We are interested in calculating the Bogolubov coefficient in the near horizon limit outside the horizon for which $\mathcal{F} > 0$. The Bogolubov coefficient is given by

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = \frac{\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\int_{R_{eh}}^{\infty} dR\sqrt{g_{RR}}\,\Psi_{og}^*(\omega)\Psi_{ig}(\omega') \tag{113}$$

Here $\sigma\omega/\widetilde{G}_d\hbar$ replaces the 2ω in the standard definition of the Bogoliubov coefficient (see below for further explanation). Subscripts og/ig stand for outgoing/ingoing modes and R_{eh} is the area radius at the horizon. Now $\sqrt{g_{RR}}$ is the metric coefficient on the space of metrics. From the form of the Hamiltonian constraint this metric is seen to be diagonal in the (τ, R) coordinates. In the (T, R) coordinates its form can be determined by using

$$g_{RR}(T,R) = g_{\tau\tau}\left(\frac{d\tau}{dR}\right)^2 + g_{RR}\left(\frac{dR}{dR}\right)^2 \tag{114}$$

which yields $\sqrt{g_{RR}} = 1/\mathcal{F}$. For an expanding dust cloud the ingoing wave function is given by

$$\Psi^+_{ig}[\tau, R, \Gamma] = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\int dr \Gamma(r)(\frac{c_0}{2}+T)}. \tag{115}$$

Similarly the outgoing mode is given by

$$\Psi^-_{og}[\tau, R, \Gamma] = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\int dr \Gamma(r)(\frac{c_0}{2}-T+2\int dR \frac{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}})}. \tag{116}$$

On the lattice the above modes become

$$\psi^+_{ig} = \lim_{\sigma\to 0}\prod_i e^{i\frac{\sigma}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}(\frac{b_i}{2}+T_i)\omega_i} \tag{117}$$

$$\psi^-_{og} = \lim_{\sigma\to 0}\prod_i e^{i\frac{\sigma}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}(\frac{b_i}{2}-T_i+2\int dR\frac{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}})\omega_i} \tag{118}$$

Here we have replaced c_0 by b and we have defined $n\omega = F'$, which implies $\Gamma = \omega/\widetilde{G}_d$. With everything set we now evaluate (113) by substituting for the modes (in the following we will drop the subscript i always remembering that we are working on a lattice).

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = \frac{\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\exp\left(\frac{i\sigma}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}[\frac{b}{2}(\omega'-\omega)+T(\omega'+\omega)]\right)\int_{R_{eh}}^{\infty} dR\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\exp\left(-\frac{i2\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\int dR\frac{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{F}}}{\mathcal{F}}\right). \tag{119}$$

As a first step we calculate the Bogoliubov coefficient in the absence of the cosmological constant in which case $\mathcal{F} = 1 - F/R^{n-1}$. We do a near horizon calculation noting that at the horizon $1 - F/R^{n-1} = 0$. To simplify the integration we define

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{R^{n-1}}{F}} - 1. \tag{120}$$

With this the integral term in the above equation becomes

$$\frac{2F^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{n-1}\int_0^{\infty} ds\frac{(1+s)^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}}{s^2+2s}\exp\left(-i\frac{4\sigma\omega F^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}\int^s d\bar{s}\frac{(1+\bar{s})^{\frac{2}{n-1}}}{\bar{s}^2+2\bar{s}}\right). \tag{121}$$

To regularize the integral at infinity we multiply it with e^{-ps}, $p > 0$ and take the limit $p \to 0$ after doing the integration. Thus there is a suppression for large values of s and we can assume that s is small. Thus retaining only the first order terms in s the integral becomes

$$\lim_{p\to 0}\frac{F^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{n-1}\int_0^{\infty} ds\left(s^{-1-\frac{i2\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}}e^{-\frac{i4\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}s}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)^2}}e^{-ps}\right). \tag{122}$$

The above integral evaluates to

$$\frac{F^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{n-1}\left[\frac{4\sigma\omega F^{\frac{1}{n-1}}s}{\hbar(n-1)^2}\right]^{\frac{i2\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}}e^{-\frac{\pi\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}}\Gamma\left(-\frac{i2\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}\right). \tag{123}$$

With this the Bogoliubov coefficient turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{\omega\omega'} \;=\; & \frac{\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\frac{F^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{n-1}\exp\left(\frac{i\sigma}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}[\frac{b}{2}(\omega'-\omega)+T(\omega'+\omega)]\right)\left[\frac{4\sigma\omega F^{\frac{1}{n-1}}s}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)^2}\right]^{\frac{i2\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}} \\ & e^{-\frac{\pi\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}}\Gamma\left(-\frac{i2\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

Using $|\Gamma(iy)|^2 = \pi/y\sinh(\pi y)$, (y real), and noting that particle creation rate corresponds to the absolute square of the Bogoliubov coefficient we find that

$$|\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = \left(\frac{\pi\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\right)\frac{1}{e^{\frac{4\pi\sigma\omega F^{1/n-1}}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar(n-1)}}-1}. \tag{125}$$

By defining $\sigma\omega \equiv \widetilde{G}_d\Delta E$, ΔE being the energy of a shell, this can be interpreted as an eternal Schwarzschild black hole in equilibrium with a thermal bath at the Hawking temperature

$$k_B T_H = \frac{(n-1)\hbar}{4\pi F^{1/n-1}}. \tag{126}$$

For instance in $3+1$ dimensions where $n=2$ we find

$$k_B T_H = \frac{\hbar}{8\pi G M}. \tag{127}$$

We now calculate the Bogoliubov coefficient in the presence of the negative cosmological constant. We again work in the near horizon approximation. We note that the horizon in (119) is given by the root of $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{F} = 1 - \frac{F}{R^{n-1}} + \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{n(n+1)} = 0. \tag{128}$$

Since this equation cannot be solved generally for arbitrary n, we write the expression for $\mathcal{F}$ in terms of the surface gravity. The surface gravity is given by (for an exact expression of surface gravity see the following section)

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dg_{00}}{dR}|_{R=R_{eh}} \tag{129}$$

However, $g_{00} = \mathcal{F}$ which, as seen above, equals zero at the horizon and therefore we can approximate $\mathcal{F}$ near the horizon by

$$\mathcal{F} = -2\kappa(R-R_{eh}). \tag{130}$$

Defining $R - R_{eh} := s$ we have that $\mathcal{F} = -2\kappa s$ and with this the expression for Bogoliubov coefficient becomes

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = \frac{\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\exp\left(\frac{i\sigma}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}[\frac{b}{2}(\omega'-\omega)+T(\omega'+\omega)]\right)\int_0^\infty ds\left(\frac{-1}{2\kappa s}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{i2\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\int^s d\bar{s}\frac{\sqrt{1+2\kappa\bar{s}}}{-2\kappa\bar{s}}\right). \tag{131}$$

To regularize the integral we multiply it with $s^q e^{-ps}$, $(p,q) > 0$ (and take the limit $(p,q) \to 0$ after doing the integral) which would imply that we will get contributions only for those values of s which are near zero. For this reason we retain only the first order terms in the integral in the exponential. With this the above integral becomes

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = \lim_{p,q\to 0}\left[-\frac{\sigma\omega}{2\kappa\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\exp\left(\frac{i\sigma}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}[\frac{b}{2}(\omega'-\omega)+T(\omega'+\omega)]\right)\int_0^\infty ds\left(s^{-1+\frac{i\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar\kappa}}e^{\frac{i\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar\kappa}s}s^q e^{-ps}\right)\right]. \tag{132}$$

This finally evaluates to

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = -\frac{\sigma\omega}{2\kappa\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}\exp\left(\frac{i\sigma}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar}[\frac{b}{2}(\omega'-\omega)+T(\omega'+\omega)]\right)\left(-\frac{i\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar\kappa}\right)^{-\frac{i\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar\kappa}}\Gamma\left(-\frac{i\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar\kappa}\right). \qquad (133)$$

As before we are interested in the particle creation rate for which we take the absolute square of the above equation which gives

$$|\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = \left(\frac{\pi\sigma\omega}{2\widetilde{G}_d\hbar\kappa}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi\sigma\omega}{\widetilde{G}_d\hbar\kappa}}-1}\right). \qquad (134)$$

As before, using the correspondence $\sigma\omega \equiv \widetilde{G}_d\Delta E$, we have a black hole in equilibrium with a thermal bath at Hawking temperature

$$k_BT_H = \frac{\hbar\kappa}{2\pi}. \qquad (135)$$

Since it is difficult to solve for the horizon radius for an arbitrary n we cannot give a general formula for the surface gravity and hence for the Hawking temperature which will show its dependence on the number of dimensions, the mass of the black hole and the value of the cosmological constant. However, in the next section we see how these three quantities control the behaviour of the Hawking temperature and the specific heat.

7 Surface Gravity

As follows from (6) the event horizon is defined by the condition

$$1 - \frac{F_s}{x^{n-1}} + \frac{2\Lambda x^2}{n(n+1)} = 0. \qquad (136)$$

This implies that the radius of the event horizon is given as the solution to the following equation

$$2\Lambda x^{n+1} + n(n+1)x^{n-1} - n(n+1)F_s = 0. \qquad (137)$$

In particular, for $n = 2$ we find that the event horizon is given by

$$x_{eh} = -\frac{1}{(3GM\Lambda^2+\sqrt{\Lambda^3+9G^2M^2\Lambda^4})^{1/3}} + \frac{(3GM\Lambda^2+\sqrt{\Lambda^3+9G^2M^2\Lambda^4})^{1/3}}{\Lambda}. \qquad (138)$$

Area of the event horizon is nothing but the volume of an n-sphere of radius x_{eh} which is given by

$$A_{eh} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}x_{eh}^n \qquad (139)$$

where $2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}/\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})$ is the volume of a unit n-sphere. The surface gravity is given by

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dg_{00}}{dx}|_{x=x_{eh}} \qquad (140)$$

and works out to

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(n-1)F_s}{x_{eh}^n} + \frac{4\Lambda x_{eh}}{n(n+1)}\right]. \qquad (141)$$

Using $F_s = (1 + 2\Lambda x_{eh}^2/n(n+1))x_{eh}^{n-1}$ we get

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{n-1}{x_{eh}} + \frac{2\Lambda x_{eh}}{n}\right]. \qquad (142)$$

Noting that the Hawking temperature of the quantized black hole is determined by surface gravity, we see that this expression for surface gravity has remarkable implications for black hole thermodynamics. The Hawking temperature is controlled by three parameters: mass of the black hole, number of spatial dimensions and the cosmological constant. The presence of Λ could make the specific heat of the black hole positive (as it does for the BTZ black hole). To this effect we calculate the derivative $d\kappa/dM$ for fixed n and Λ. From (142) we get that

$$2\frac{d\kappa}{dM} = \frac{dx}{dM}\left[-\frac{n-1}{x^2} + \frac{2\Lambda}{n}\right] \tag{143}$$

where to simplify notation we write x instead of x_{eh}. As is expected, and also evident from (137), x increases monotonically with M. Thus the condition for positivity of the specific heat is

$$2\Lambda x^2 > n(n-1) \tag{144}$$

which is a constraint on the dimensionless quantity constructed from the cosmological constant and the radius of the event horizon. The above relation was also obtained in [18]. After expressing x in terms of M, Λ and n, this becomes a condition on the mutual relation between the three free parameters. A few things can be read off immediately: (i) if Λ is zero, specific heat is necessarily negative, (ii) for the 4-d AdS case, i.e. $n = 2$, specific heat is positive if $\Lambda x^2 > 1$. Using the expression for x from (138) this appears to translate into a complicated relation between M and Λ, (iii) given any $n > 1$, and some value of Λ, one can always choose an M, and hence an x, sufficiently large, so that the specific heat becomes positive.

8 Conclusions

We have used the canonical formalism to study the quantization of a spherically symmetric dust cloud in the presence of a negative cosmological constant in arbitrary number of spatial dimensions. It is remarkable that the canonical form is same in all dimensions, and is also not affected by the inclusion of the cosmological constant. We obtained the Wheeler-DeWitt equation which was solved to obtain the exact quantum states using lattice regularization. In the limit of small dust perturbations over the AdS-Schwarzschild geometry these states correspond to Hawking radiation. This provides justification for the choice of the inner product on the space of metrics. We also saw that there is an interesting interplay between the number of spatial dimensions, the cosmological constant and the mass of the black hole, which can render the specific heat of the black hole positive.

It would also be interesting to see the role of this interplay in determining the entropy of an AdS-Schwarzschild black hole in arbitrary number of dimensions. This aspect will be discussed in the next paper where we calculate the entropy by counting the microscopic degrees of freedom using the quantized states obtained here. The change of the sign of the specific heat is likely to have important consequences for the statistical description of the thermodynamics.

Acknowledgements

R.T. thanks Sashideep Gutti for useful discussions and S. Shankarnarayanan for suggesting one of the references.

References

[1] S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).

[2] Jacob D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D7 (1973) 2333.

[3] Jacob D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 3292.

[4] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. 31, 161 (1973).

[5] C. Vaz, L. Witten and T. P. Singh, Phys.Rev. D63 (2001) 104020.

[6] C. Vaz and L. Witten, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 024009.

[7] C. Vaz and L. Witten, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 024008.

[8] C. Vaz, C. Kiefer, T. P. Singh and L. Witten, Phys. Rev. D67 (2003) 024014.

[9] C. Vaz, L. Witten and T. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 104029.

[10] C. Kiefer, J. Mueller-Hill and C. Vaz, Phys. Rev. D73, 044025 (2006).

[11] C. Kiefer, J. Mueller-Hill, T. P. Singh and C. Vaz, Phys. Rev. D75, 124010 (2007).

[12] Karel V. Kuchař, Phys. Rev. D50, 3961 (1994).

[13] Cenalo Vaz, Sashideep Gutti, Claus Kiefer and T. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D76, 124021 (2007).

[14] Cenalo Vaz, Sashideep Gutti, Claus Kiefer, T. P. Singh and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. D77, 064021 (2008).

[15] Rakesh Tibrewala, Sashideep Gutti, T. P. Singh and Cenalo Vaz, Phys. Rev. D77, 064012 (2008).

[16] K.V. Kuchař and C. G. Torre, Phys. Rev. D43, 419 (1991).

[17] J. D. Brown and K. V. Kuchař, Phys. Rev. D51, 5600 (1995).

[18] Saurya Das, Parthasarathi Majumdar and Rajat K. Bhaduri, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 2355 (2002).